

Risk Management Policy

Policy Objective

The Shire of Denmark is committed to conducting its business effectively, managing risks efficiently, and maximising opportunities.

The Shire manages risk through an organisation-wide approach to risk identification, analysis, evaluation and treatment that:

- Optimises the opportunity for the Shire to achieve our vision of being a vibrant coastal community connected to the environment and living the village lifestyle.
- Ensures risk management aligns with the Strategic Community Plan decisionmaking principles:
 - Is it affordable and an effective use of the Shire's funds and resources?
 - Does it protect our environment or align with our sustainability objectives?
 - Is it a community priority, or does it have broad community support?
 - Does it support local jobs, or will it provide much-needed local services?
 - Does it enhance our way of life and keep our village feel?
- Provides transparent and formal oversight of the risk and control environment.
- Protects the community, staff and key stakeholders from adverse incidents.
- Reduces the Shire's exposure to loss and manages loss should it occur.
- Ensures compliance with statutory requirements.

Every employee within the Shire is recognised as having a role in risk management, from identifying risks to implementing risk treatments and shall be invited and encouraged to participate in the process.

Scope

This policy is to achieve best practice (Aligned with AS/NZS ISO 310000:2018 Risk Management) in managing risks that may affect the Shire's employees, finances, assets, operations, natural environment, heritage, local economy, and community.

Definitions

Ref: AS/NZS ISO 310000:2018 Risk Management Guidelines

Risk – the effect of uncertainty on objectives.

Risk Management – coordinated activities to direct and control organisations regarding risk.

Event – occurrence or change of a particular set of circumstances.

Consequences – the outcome of an event.

Likelihood - the chance of something happening.

Control - measures that maintain or modify risk.

Risk Appetite

The Risk Management Assessment and Acceptance Criteria (See Table 1 - 4 below) quantify the risk appetite. All key operational, project, and strategic risks impacting the Shire will be assessed according to these criteria to ensure consistency and informed decision-making.

Alternative risk assessment criteria may be used for specific operational requirements or projects, provided they do not exceed the Shire's risk appetite and are documented within the risk register.

Roles, Responsibilities and Accountabilities

The Chief Executive Officer (CEO) is responsible for assigning roles, responsibilities, and accountabilities. These are documented in the Risk Management Procedures, which the CEO will amend as necessary.

Monitor and Review

The CEO will implement and integrate a monitoring and review process to ensure the resolution of risks and the identification of issues and trends.

Regular updates will be provided to the Audit Committee, with any reportable risks being communicated to both the Audit Committee and Council at the earliest opportunity.

Reportable Risk

A reportable risk is defined as:

A risk that has a risk rating of extreme; or,

A risk where the control rating is lower than the risk rating.

Table 1 Existing Control Ratings

SHIRE OF DENMARK CONTROL RATINGS						
Level	Rating	Description				
1	High	Excellent controls; risk is very well monitored and managed through annual monitoring.				
2	Moderate	Adequate controls with occasional minor weaknesses managed through specific procedures and frequent monitoring.				
3	Low	Inadequate controls, some risk of failure				
4	Very Low	No or inadequate controls, very high risk of failure				

Table 2: Risk Management Likelihood

SHIRE OF DENMARK RISK LIKELIHOOD						
Level	Rating	Frequency				
5	Almost Certain	The event is expected to occur in most circumstances	More than once a year			
4	Likely	The event will probably occur in most circumstances	At least once a year			
3	Possible	The event should occur at some time	At least once in 3 years			
2	Unlikely	The event could occur at some time	At least once in 10 years			
1	Rare	The event may only occur in exceptional circumstances	Less than once in 20 years			

Table 3: Risk Rating Matrix

SHIRE OF DENMARK RISK RATING MATRIX							
Consequence		Insignificant	Insignificant Minor Mode		Major	Extreme	
Likelihood		1	1 2 3		4	5	
Almost Certain 5		Moderate (5)	High (10)	High (15)	Extreme (20)	Extreme (25)	
Likely 4		Low (4)	Moderate (8)	High (12)	High (16)	Extreme (20)	
Possible	3	Low (3)	Moderate (6)	Moderate (9)	High (12)	High (15)	
Unlikely 2		Low (2)	Low (4)	Moderate (6)	Moderate (8)	High (10)	
Rare	1	Low (1)	Low (2)	Low (3)	Low (4)	Moderate (5)	

Table 4: Risk Management Consequences

	1-Insignificant	2 – Minor	3 – Moderate	4 – Major	5 - Extreme
Human Impacts	Injury requiring First Aid. No expected adverse psychological impacts.	Physical/mental Injury requiring medical treatment < 10 days RWI. (Restricted Work Injury	Physical/psychological Injury requiring medical treatment 10-30 days RWI.	Physical/psychological Injury requiring medical treatment > 30 days RWI.	Fatality / Permanent disability or injuries requiring extensive remedial intervention.
Financial Impact (over the life of the event) to a max 10 years	< \$10,000 i.e. can be managed within existing purchasing and reporting requirements and budget.	\$10,000 - \$50,000 i.e. this will potentially need addressing through the midyear budget process	\$50,000 – \$250,000 i.e. this may need to be addressed through reserve funds.	\$250,000 - \$1M i.e. this will potentially need addressing through a review of the LTFP	>\$1.0M i.e. Immediate assessment of the LTFP
Asset / Property Impacts	Minimal loss or damage to assets with no operational impact, easily recoverable	Small-scale asset loss causes slight operational disruption; recovery is quick and straightforward.	Noticeable asset loss. Requires time and additional resources for recovery	Significant asset loss. Recovery time is extended and requires budget adjustment.	Extensive asset loss with long- term recovery impacts.
Business Interruption (See below for specific ICT impacts.)	Inability to operate for < day. i.e. managed through standard business practices.	Inability to operate for 2-3 days. i.e. additional internal resources are required to return to normal operations.	Inability to operate for < 5 days. i.e. additional internal resources /redeployment is required.	Inability to operate for < 1-3 weeks. i.e. significant resources are needed to restore operations.	The inability of the whole organisation to operate for < 3 weeks. i.e. restoring services can't be managed with existing resources.
ICT Systems Fault Impacts	Minimal downtime with no disruption to operations. i.e. no data loss.	Short downtime affecting a small number of users. i.e. minor data inconsistencies, easily corrected	Noticeable downtime affecting multiple users. i.e. data recovery efforts are required.	Extended downtime affecting key business functions. i.e. significant data corruption or loss	System-wide failure causing business-critical disruption. i.e. catastrophic data loss or no possibility of recovery.
ICT Malicious Threat Impact	There was no significant impact. i.e., the ransomware attempt was blocked. No financial cost	Partial or brief encryption of non-critical files is quickly restored. A small cost for incident response and security updates	Some systems are encrypted, causing temporary disruptions: moderate ransom demand or operational losses from downtime.	Critical systems are encrypted, disrupting operations. There is a high financial loss due to ransom payment, downtime or regulatory penalties.	The entire infrastructure is locked, preventing all business functions and causing severe financial losses and regulatory scrutiny.
Legal / Regulatory Compliance	No noticeable regulatory or statutory impact	Temporary non-compliance, audit or regulator findings that are resolvable within an agreed timeframe.	Short-term non-compliance but with significant requirements imposed or significant internal audit findings	Non-compliance results in the prohibition of services for a period or financial penalties	Non-compliance results in criminal charges, removal of Executives and elected Members, class action litigation, and disqualification from providing services.

	1-Insignificant	2 – Minor	3 – Moderate	4 – Major	5 - Extreme
Reputation Impacts	Isolated individual issues- based complaint. i.e. unsubstantiated, low impact event.	Local stakeholder impacts and issues posted on social media. i.e. easily managed through current communication channels.	Multiple social media messages are occurring, gaining reach and traction. It has reduced confidence in Shire's decision-making. i.e. requires response or clarification from the Shire	Local mainstream media and news outlets are involved, which raises questions about Shire's integrity when managing the issue. i.e. this requires substantial engagement.	Intense state or national media focus stakeholder backlash, public embarrassment, and potential impacts on the business reputation of the Shire are present. i.e. requires crisis management and long-term rebuilding efforts
Social Impacts	Low-level impact on a few individuals with no broader social community impacts	Minor impacts on a small portion of the community and their expectations of the Shire. Manageable across the wider community.	Noticeable impact across a large portion of the community requiring internal revaluation of the Shire's understanding of community expectations.	A Shire-led decision had a significant negative social impact on a large portion of the community. i.e. demands changes to operations, policy or plans to realign with community expectations.	Irreversible negative social impacts on the community from a shire-led decision entirely against expectations. i.e. critical demands for urgent and extensive reform by the community.
Environmental Impacts	Low-localised events with no broader environmental	Damage or event impacting the ability to meet environmental	Short-term environmental degradation,	Large-scale damage / partial loss requiring long remediation (> 1 year), significant ecosystem or threatened species.	Permanent loss of significant ecosystem /or threatened / vulnerable species
Heritage Impacts (European and Aboriginal heritage)	Low localised events with no broader heritage impacts	Damage or event impacting the ability to meet heritage expectations.	Significant but rectifiable damage to valued heritage assets	Large-scale damage to a valued heritage asset	Permanent total and irreplaceable loss of valued local / state heritage asset
Sustainability Impacts	There is no noticeable impact on sustainability goals, and there are no long-term effects.	Short-term impacts on sustainability. It is easily mitigated without lasting consequences.	Requires effort and additional resources to address the issue with potential long-term impacts	A significant impact on sustainability goals requires substantial intervention and may result in lasting environmental or social consequences.	It has severely impacted sustainability goals, causing irreversible damage to the environment and social and economic systems; long-term recovery and extensive mitigation efforts are needed.
Local Commercial / Economic Impacts	Decline of economic activity or less than \$100,000 impact across the Shire.	Decline of economic activity of \$100,000 - \$1M impact across the Shire.	Decline of economic activity of \$1M - \$2M impact across the Shire	Reduction and loss of key commercial sectors in the Shire. \$2-\$5M	Decline of economic activity of \$5M+ i.e. permanent or complete loss of key commercial industries in the Shire.

Document Control Box								
Document	Document Responsibilities:							
Owner:	Chie	f Executive Officer		Owner Business Un	it:	: Governance		
Reviewer:	Exec	utive Manager Corp	Decision Maker: Council					
Compliance	Compliance Requirements:							
		Local Government Local Government	nt Act 1995 nt (Administration) Regulations) 1996					
Document Management:								
Synergy Ref:		NPP255102			Revi	ew Frequency:	As required	
Version # Date:) :	Action: R		Res	esolution No.		
1.	28 A	28 April 2025 ADOPTED by C		cil	0504	125		
2.								